



Sh Jagjit Singh,
R/o Aman Nagar, Street No-3,
B/s Green Land School,
Near Jalandhar By Pass, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4713 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Ms.Rashu Mahajan, Food Safety Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 20.07.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of noting/file relating to the complaint dated 09.06.2021 – day to day status of filed complaint which was filed to DHO Ludhiana – complete enquiry report – a copy of related documents and statement and sampling report – a copy of all sampling report related to Sach Khand dairy – a copy of sampling report related to Kuldeep and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 20.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the clerk who was dealing with the RTI application was absent without leave for a long time, and now a new clerk has joined. The respondent has asked for some more time and is assured to provide the information.

The PIO is directed to provide the information promptly and initiate a departmental enquiry, as per departmental rules, to fix the accountability of the derelict official.

No further interference of the commission is required. The case is **disposed of**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Surjit Singh, S/o Sh Gokil Singh,
VPO Jarg, TEhsil Payal,
Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairperson,
Punjab State Scheduled Castes Commission,
PB, Room No-8, 4th Floor,
Punjab Civil Secretariat- 1, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Welfare Minister,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4480 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Surjit Singh as for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 22.07.2021 has sought information regarding the statement for not issuing atrocity against the education department(Elementary) and Secretary Education on the basis of a letter dated 06.07.2021 as per which the self-declaration of DPI(Elementary) has been declared false and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Chairperson, Punjab State SC Commission. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 06.08.2021 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 10.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the appellant, the information has not been provided by the PIO.

The respondent is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the information that has been sought by the appellant is in the query form and does not fall under the definition of information under section 2(f) of the RTI Act., hence rejected.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Bhushan Jain, S/o Sh Rajinder Kumar,
H NO-392, Street NO-3,
Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4772 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 28.06.2021 has sought information regarding medical bills received from different departments from 01.12.2019 to 31.05.2021 for verification alongwith name of department – diary no and date - the name of employees/pensioners – amount of bill and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 17.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get the relevant information for which the appellant has agreed and has settled the date & time of inspection.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

No further interference of the commission is required. The case is **disposed of**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Bhushan Jain, S/o Sh Rajinder Kumar,
H NO-392, Street NO-3,
Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4770 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 14.06.2021 has sought information regarding para no.1 of a letter dated 09.06.2021 – a copy of the order of the medical board vide which verification of medical bills was stopped – the name of members of the medical board of that time – a copy of the order of the medical board for deputing all doctors in covid wards and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 17.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided vide letter dated 24.02.2022 and the appellant has received the same. As per the respondent, the appellant is satisfied with the provided information.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur,
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgar, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4561 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as the Appellant
Dr.Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 14.07.2021 has sought information regarding details of vehicles with the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana – vehicle allotted to the officer alongwith name of its driver –a copy of rules for allotment of the vehicle and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 14.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Bhushan Jain, S/o Sh Rajinder Kumar,
H NO-392, Street NO-3,
Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4773 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr. Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 28.06.2021 has sought information regarding details of oxygen plants in Govt and private hospitals of district Ludhiana alongwith details of MLP capacity oxygen plants and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 17.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided vide letter dated 24.02.2022 and the appellant has received the same. As per the respondent, the appellant is satisfied with the provided information.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Sewak Singh, S/o Sh Jarnail Singh,
R/o Village Dhani Karaka Singh,
Tehsil Abohar, Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Social Security Officer,
Fazilka.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Social Security Women & Child Welfare,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4539 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sewak Singh as for the Appellant
Sh.Harbhajan Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 24.02.2021 has sought information regarding the list of applicants who filed applications for old aged pension in the year 2020 alongwith documents attached therewith – a copy of PLAs No. with the date of approval of old aged pension – details of pension availed by the applicants and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Social Security Officer, Fazilka. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 04.03.2021 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 05.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Smt Rajwinder Kaur, W/o Sh Satpal Singh,
Guru Nanak Mohalla Hajipur,
Tehsil Mukerian, Distt Hoshiarpur.
Corresponding Address
Radha Swami Colony,
Dasuya, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Hoshiarpur.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 800 of 2021

PRESENT: Smt.Rajwinder Kaur as for the Appellant
Sh.Navdeep Kumar, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 09.11.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the registration number of page 341 of the birth register for the year 1998 relating to village Hazipur and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Hoshiarpur. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 16.02.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 21.06.2021.

The case last came up for hearing on 05.01.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 10.05.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

As per the respondent, the appellant has sought information regarding a record related to the year 1998. As per the respondent, the major portion of page number 341 of the birth register is in torn condition and the remaining portion is not legible, thus the information could not be provided, for which a reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 16.02.2021 & 07.05.2021. However, if the appellant wants a birth certificate, the appellant can apply for the same to the higher authority for reconstruction on the basis of an earlier issued birth certificate.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the Commission finds that the information relating to point-1 is a query. Regarding point-2, the PIO is directed to give in writing the status of page No.341 to the appellant on an affidavit.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh Harbans Lal,
H NO-B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, MC,
Jaitu.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director Local Govt,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4516 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Naib Singh, Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 29.09.2020 has sought information on 12 points regarding Ramesh Kumar Sewadar relating to the fine imposed for non-compliance of the rules and preparing fake reports and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-MC Jaito. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 05.05.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 06.05.2022 has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent has brought the information and has sent a copy of the covering letter dated 09.05.2022 to the Commission through email which has been taken on record.

The PIO is directed to send the information to the appellant through a registered post.

Having gone through the RTI application and reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

However, if the appellant is not satisfied, the appellant may get in touch with the respondent and inspect the record. The PIO is directed to allow inspection of record to the appellant and sort out the discrepancies if any.

No further interference of the commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1073 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 29.06.2021 has sought information regarding alcohol samples collected from the year 2015 – a copy of entry register of samples – a copy of the receipt provided during sample – license issued to contractors of alcohol shops and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Fazilka. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 18.08.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/ Ludhiana.

The respondent is absent.

The appellant is present and informed that he has received the information and is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Dr. Dayal Singh, S/o h Sampooran Singh,
R/o Mahavir Nagar, Hanumangarh Road,
Abohar, Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sr. Medical Officer,
Khanna, Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 303 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Harvinder Singh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 04.10.2021 has sought information regarding recovery of arrears of Rs.43606/- provided to Dr.N.K.Singla, SMO Service No.2900 after the promotion as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Sr.Medical Officer, Khanna. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 08.11.2021 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 10.11.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 14.02.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Ludhiana.

The respondent present pleaded, that the information sought by the appellant being 3rd party information, it cannot be provided.

The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 10.05.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Ludhiana. The respondent has reiterated their earlier plea that the information being 3rd party information, cannot be provided.

The appellant is absent. The Commission has received a reply from the appellant on 13.04.2022 which has been taken on record. In the reply, the appellant has pleaded that he has sought information regarding the recovery of Rs.43606/- from Dr.N.K.Singla by the health department, which is not the personal information of the 3rd party. As per the appellant, the PIO while denying the information has neither acted in accordance with section 11(1) summoning the 3rd party by issuing notice under section 19(4) of the RTI Act. As per the appellant, the information whether an amount of Rs.43,606 has been recovered from Dr. NK Singla is being sought since a similar amount has been sought from the appellant, and he was seeking to understand whether employees with similar positions are being treated equally by the department? The appellant has further pleaded that if any amount is recovered from the Govt employee out of paid remuneration, it should also be part of suo moto disclosure. As The appellant has cited a decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in civil appeal No.10044 of 2010 whereby the definition of personal information has clearly been decided.

Appeal Case No. 303 of 2022

From the reading of the RTI application the appellant is asking a reply in a yes or a no, which is a merely a query, and clearly does not qualify as information under section 2(f) of the Right to Information Act.

However, keeping the spirit of the RTI Act to maximize disclosure, the PIO is directed to provide that document, if it exists in records, which reflects a recovery of Rs.43,606 from Dr. NK Singla If not, the reply be sent to the appellant.

The plea of the PIO denying the information under section 11 is rejected, and the PIO is directed to follow the above order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner